

## THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

Austin 11, Texas

> Honorable Stansell Bryan District Attorney Waco, Texas

Attention: Mr. Roy Rutland, Jr.

Dear Sir:

Opinion No. 0-3591

Re: Is affidavit of purchaser of automobile required under H. B. 8, a public record to which the general public shall have access?

You have inquired as to whether the affidavit required under Article VI, Section 5a of H. B. 8 of the Forty-Seventh Legislature, is a public record in the sense that the information contained in the affidavit is available to the public at large.

Article VI, Section 1 (a) levies a tax of one per cent (1%) upon the total consideration paid or to be paid by the buyer. This article further makes certain definitions and provisions necessary to the enforcement of this law including Section 5a of Article VI which reads as follows:

"At the time the tax herein levied is paid to said Tax Collector the purchaser shall file with said Tax Collector the affidavit of such purchaser (or if a corporation the affidavit of the President; Vice President, Secretary, or Manager) setting forth the than value in dollars of the total consideration received or to be received by such seller or his nominees, whether in money or other things of value."

The only case we find reported in Texas upon the subject of the rights of the citizens of a state to inspect the records of the state or county is Palacies et al vs. Corbett et al, (Writ of error refused) 72 S. W. 777. In this case the Court of Civil Appeals at San Antonio adopted the common law upon this subject as the law in Texas. That is, if the reports or records are not confidential, but on the other hand are of a public nature, private citizens or their duly appointed representatives have a right to inspect such of the records as they have an interest in. This right, of course, is subject to limitation imposed by the Legislature in enacting the subject laws. We do have instances in which the Legislature has specifically limited the authority to examine or copy records required of individuals, partnerships, or corporations, such as the records of the Texas Unemployment Compensation Commission.

Honorable Stansell Bryan, Page 2 (0-3591)

Our examination of the authorities discloses that the rule of the Palacios case is still the law in Texas. This department followed this decision in our Opinion Nos. 0-854 and 0-2044.

In an examination of Section VI of H. B. 8 of the Forty-Seventh Legislature we find no provision making these records confidential or protecting them from examination of observation by interested persons. Thus, they are governed by the law as stated in the Palacios case.

There being no authority for withholding the infermation contained in these affidavits from the public we are of the opinion that the affidavits mentioned in your inquiry are public records when they have been filed in the office of the tax collector and are subject to examination at reasonable hours by interested persons. We are enclosing copies of our opinions Nos. 0-854 and 0-2044 upon the subject of examinations of public records.

Yours very truly

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

s/ Morris Hogges

By Morris Hodges Assistant

MH:fs Encl. 2

Approved July 28, 1941

s/ Grover Sellers First Assistant Attorney General

APPROVED OPINION COMMITTEE BY B. W. B CHAIRMAN